

EVIDENCE FOR THE ESTATE NEVER PRESENTED

Craig email to Ira.

Date: April 23, 2014 4:14am

Ira,

I have sold all the BTC that I plan to sell for now. In doing what we wanted to do, Dave and I arranged for the sale or around 500,000 BTC so that we could have access to Core Banking software. The rest that I hold are in trust. The terms of that trust are not met as yet and hence if I was to break it, I would also cause the tax liability to fall and that would result in over 50% of the total being owed in taxes – a result that would drop the price to about nothing and leave nothing. I will not do that. I will not collapse years of work for anyone or anything.

<https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/6309656/550/26/kleiman-v-wright/>

Craig Wright Deposition -

"I did not send a single e-mail on 23rd April and there are public records that attest that I was in these meetings."

It is my testimony that this is a fraudulently fabricated document involving Ira and other people that I was not involved in the sending of.

<https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/6309656/600/8/kleiman-v-wright/> pg. 87

Revealing Facts -

April 22, 2014 - I had an all night email exchange with Craig that lasted from April 22, 2014 9:49pm EST until the next morning of April 23, 2014 5:43am EST. We exchanged a total of 71 emails that evening.

At Craig's deposition he denied sending the April 23, 2014 email to me where he wrote... "Dave and I arranged for the sale or around 500,000 BTC".

In addition to the forensics that prove Craig sent this email, he also phoned me and left a voicemail on my machine just 45-minutes before the all night email exchange began. I still have that voice message along with proof of the time he left it. Aside from that, Craig's attorney Andrew Sommer was CC'd on the email. He could have easily been subpoenaed to turn over his copy to confirm that Craig sent it, but he never was.

Craig's deposition:

Q. Can you read for me the first two sentences of this e-mail that purports to be from you?

A. I can read the fabricated document saying "I have sold all the BTC that I plan to sell now. In doing what we wanted to do, Dave and I arranged for the sale of around 500,000 BTC so that we could have access to core banking software".

<https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/6309656/600/8/kleiman-v-wright/> pg. 87

*The Jury never saw the email, they didn't see Craig's conflicting deposition testimony, and they never heard anything about Craig's voice message.

Here is the deposition transcript where Craig denies sending these emails.

March 18, 2020

12 Q. Did you and Dave arrange for the
13 sail of 500,000 Bitcoin to have access to Core
14 Banking software?
15 MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
16 A. As I said, Dave was dead.
17 BY MR. FREEDMAN:
18 Q. Did you tell Ira that Dave arranged
19 for the -- strike that. Did you tell Ira that you
20 and Dave arranged for the sale of 500,000 Bitcoins
21 to have access to Core Banking software?
22 MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
23 A. It was not 500,000, off the top of
24 my head, for Core Banking software. I would need
25 to look at the amounts.

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page 85 of

324

Page 85

1 MR. FREEDMAN:
2 Q. I just asked if you told Ira that
3 you arranged for the sale of 500,000 BTC so that
4 you could have access to Core Banking software,
5 not whether the amount was correct. Let me
6 rephrase so you can hear clearly the question.
7 Dr. Wright, did you tell Ira that you and Dave
8 arranged for the sale of around 500,000 BTC so
9 that you could have access to Core Banking
10 software?

11 MR. RIVERO: Object to the form.
12 Dr. Wright, answer that question.

13 A. No, but I do know that Mr. Kleiman
14 has created documents and sent them around saying
15 that.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Dr. Wright, I am going to share
18 with you what you have produced in this litigation
19 as Defense 00119167. Can you let me know when
20 that is up on the screen?

21 (Exhibit Defense 00119167 referred to)

22 A. That is up on the screen.

23 Q. Do you recognise this as a printout
24 of an e-mail that purports to be from you to Ira
25 Kleiman, with a cc to Andrew Sommer?

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page
86 of

324

Page 86

1 A. No, I did not. There are a number
2 of problems with this. Where I said that unless
3 there is something emotionally scarring or
4 whatever else I don't remember dates, this happens
5 to be an emotionally scarring date. On 23rd
6 August, this was just before the Tuesday following
7 where we told the staff, on this particular day
8 for the entire day I had been in communications
9 with McGrathNicol, the external auditors that we
10 appointed over Hotwire, KPMG and other entities.
11 Basically, we had decided to appoint external
12 parties to manage Hotwire due to problems that we
13 had, so we were renegotiating contracts. We were
14 with lawyers and other parties. The entire
15 process of spending a day with everything there,
16 going through and having to close -- well, not
17 close but place not into liquidation, as you said,
18 but into external administration the company and
19 restructure was massively scarring, I would say,
20 mentally for me. I remember the day and
21 unfortunately there was a day when I did not have

22 any e-mails sent. I did not send a single e-mail
23 on 23rd April and there are public records that
24 attest that I was in these meetings.

25 Q. Dr. Wright, is it your position

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page 87 of

324

Page 87

1 then that you did not send this e-mail?

2 A. It is my position that Mr. Kleiman
3 has been making up documents and that he has
4 worked with other people that I fired that have
5 been doing things like signing my signature to
6 raise money and that Mr. Kleiman has fabricated
7 quite a lot and then said things that are taken
8 from machines that I was no longer involved with
9 that he would have known have been fabricated,
10 yes.

11 Q. I want to break that down. The
12 first is, just so the records is clear, it is your
13 testimony that this is not an e-mail you sent,
14 correct?

15 A. My testimony with the Craig S.
16 Wright A, whatever that says, is that Ira Kleiman
17 has been defrauding everyone, and he can sue me
18 for defamation on this one, because I think he is
19 a con man.

20 Q. Dr. Wright, please just answer the
21 question I am asking. I really don't want to have
22 to ask the court for more time. I really want to
23 finish this but if you don't answer the question
24 it is going to take a lot longer.

25 A. I did answer the question.

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page 88 of

324

Page 88

1 Q. Is it your testimony that this

2 document ----

3 MR. RIVERO: I ----

4 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

5 Q. Mr. Rivero let me finish the
6 question and then you will have opportunity to
7 object. Dr. Wright, it is your testimony that
8 this e-mail was not sent by you?

9 MR. RIVERO: Dr. Wright, let me
10 speak. Dr. Wright, please answer that question so
11 we can proceed.

12 A. It is my testimony that this is a
13 fraudulently fabricated document involving Ira and
14 other people that I was not involved in the
15 sending of.

16 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

17 Q. Can you read for me the first two
18 sentences of this e-mail that purports to be from
19 you?

20 A. I can read the fabricated document,
21 saying: "I have sold all of the BTC that I plan
22 to sell now. In doing what we wanted to do, Dave
23 and I arranged for the sale or around 500,000 BTC
24 so that we could have access to Core Banking
25 software.

Page 89

1 Q. Dr. Wright, you said that Ira
2 Kleiman has fabricated this e-mail -- strike that.
3 Do you believe Ira Kleiman has fabricated this
4 e-mail?

5 MR. RIVERO: Objection. Asked
6 answered.

7 A. I believe that Ira Kleiman worked
8 with other people who were fired from my
9 organisation to fabricate this and many other
10 documents. I believe that he knew that the
11 document dates that were changed in 2014 would

and

12 have been changed, that the reason -- that the
13 administration I told you about where people are
14 talking about e-mail headers and things like that
15 changing on that date and being forensically
16 differed, that was because we had gone through an
17 administration and had to rebuild all the servers
18 and import e-mails to a new domain being that
19 Hotwire was not our domain anymore because it was
20 under external administration and we had to set up
21 a new exchange server. I believe that the only
22 reason all of those documents purporting to be my
23 forged documents that can be demonstrated to be
24 involved with the administration and the changes
25 of re-scanning are all because Mr. Kleiman and

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page
90 of

324

Page 90

1 other people that used to work for me worked
2 basically to take money. That he is a con man, a
3 fraud and he is making up things for this court in
4 order to steal or attempt to steal funds.
5 That is my testimony.

6 Q. what evidence do you have ----

7 MR. CAIN: We need to take a break
8 quite shortly because the SD card for the video
9 machine is going to run out.

10 MR. FREEDMAN: How much time do we
11 have?

12 MR. CAIN: Five minutes.

13 BY MR. FREEDMAN:

14 Q. Perfect. Dr. Wright, what evidence
15 do you have that Ira Kleiman fabricated this
16 e-mail?

17 A. I have things that I have discussed
18 with my solicitors, I have the fact that I was not
19 available or sending e-mails on that day, I have
20 the evidence that my machines were compromised,
21 I have the evidence that the machines were shut
22 down and rebuilt, I have the public records to do

23 with the administration of the companies, I have
24 testimony of other people, I have forensic
25 analysis of some of the signatures proving that it

Case 9:18-cv-80176-BB Document 600-8 Entered on FLSD Docket 06/26/2020 Page
91 of

324

Page 91

1 was not me who signed them but other people,
2 I have other documents. Unfortunately what
3 I don't have, because your side has not put any of
4 the required evidence in, are original documents
5 from Mr. Kleiman that he was required under
6 discovery to provide.

7 Q. Dr. Wright, what evidence do you
8 have that specifically links Ira Kleiman to these
9 documents?

10 MR. RIVERO: Hold on. Objection.
11 Asked and answered. Dr. Wright, just be careful
12 not to go into any discussions with counsel
13 anywhere, including us, including London. Go
14 ahead and answer.

15 A. I cannot answer that without going
16 into discussions with counsel as counsel were the
17 people running and managing all the forensic
18 personnel.

19 MR. FREEDMAN: Why don't we take
20 that break now, you can switch up your SD card and
21 we'll flag this and come back to it.

<https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/6309656/600/8/kleiman-v-wright/> pg. 87

Notice how not a single word from the deposition above was designated for trial use.
Bombshell evidence in favor of the estate completely discarded.